

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,810	12/14/2001	Karin Otto	32301W242	1667
7590 01/27/2005 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
			CAIN, EDWARD J	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1850 M STREET, N.W.			1714	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			[2]		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/014,810	OTTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward J. Cain	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimun riod will apply and will expire SIX (atute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
	This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13 and 22-27</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideratio				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objector the drawing(s) be held in a rection is required if the dr	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the pr	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa).	al Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Pap 5/08) 5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	ГО-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,823

Art Unit: 1714

Claims numbered as 20-28 as originally submitted have been renumbered as claims 17-27 under rule 126 since no claim 17 was originally presented.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 variously recites an article and a pigment for the production of inks in such manner that it cannot be ascertained what applicant intends.

Claim 15 variously recites a printing ink and a method. Appropriate clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linde et al '026 in view of Linde et al '988.

Linde et al '026 discloses carbon black pellets comprising one or more binders and/or dispersants including oil as binder and naphthalene/formaldehyde condensation products as dispersant. This latter species is seen as a resin. These binders and dispersants are disclosed as suitably present in amounts of 0.1 to 25%. The pellets are further disclosed as comprising a coating of wax or polymer resins (claim 10).

০ /০াণ্ ৪/৪ Application/Control Number:-09/987,823

Art Unit: 1714

This reference fails to explicitly recite the use of synthetic oil.

The Linde '988 reference discloses similar carbon black pellets and explicitly recites the suitability of synthetic oils as binder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select synthetic oil and resins as the binder and dispersant of the carbon black pellets of the primary reference. Further, the wax of claim 10 of the '026 reference is seen as functioning as a pelletizing additive since it would aid in preventing the pellet from disintegrating.

Regarding the limitations to DBP and surface area values for the carbon black, these values are seen as encompassing the majority of carbon blacks available and therefore rendering obvious their use.

Regarding the limitations to bead hardness and strength, these properties are seen as inherent to the pellets of the prior art since the chemical limitations are met.

Claims 9-13 and 22-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1118. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 40/987;823

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714